

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD.

A

v.

SUNIL KUMAR & ANR.

(Civil Appeal No. 9694 of 2013)

DECEMBER 01, 2017

B

[**RANJAN GOGOI AND R. BANUMATHI, JJ.**]

*Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 – s.163A – Clarification given with respect to decision dated 24.11.2017 reported in [2017] 11 S.C.R. 130.*

C

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION: Civil Appeal No. 9694 of 2013.

From the Judgment and Order dated 10.10.2011 of the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in MAC Appeal No. 900/2011.

Amit Kumar Singh, Mrs. E. Enatoli Sema (for M/s. Law Associates), Advs. for the Appellant.

D

Ajay Kumar Talesara, Amit Singh Chauhan, Ms. Nidhi, Vipin Nair, P. B. Suresh, Abhay Pratap Singh, Advs. for the Respondents.

The following Order of the Court was delivered:

E

**ORDER**

Paragraph 11 of the Judgment dated 24.11.2017 passed by this Court in Civil Appeal No. 9694 of 2013 reads as follows :-

“11. As the final disposal of the appeal may take some time, we are of the view that 50% of the compensation that is presently lying in deposit in the Registry in terms of the Order dated 24.02.2012 should be released to the claimant on due identification.”

F

Upon a mention being made by the learned counsel for the respondent(s), the said paragraph will now be read to mean that the amount lying in deposit in the trial Court be released to the claimant(s) on due identification.

G