

meant to enable the guilty to delay and defeat the just retribution. The wheels of justice may appear to grind slowly but it is the duty of all of us to ensure that they do grind steadily and grind well and truly. The justice system cannot be allowed to become soft, supine and spineless. [787-A-B] A

2.1. The conclusions arrived at by the Learned Judge are entitled to great weight and constitute sufficient basis for initiating disciplinary action against the officers concerned. The Report points out how the several officers of the D.D.A. flouted the orders of the Lt. Governor, acted against the interest of D.D.A. and how they, by their several acts, helped the respondent in achieving its nefarious design to defraud both the D.D.A. and the innocent members of the public. [786-E-F] B

2.2. So far as the members of the public are concerned, they lost heavily because they believed in and acted upon the several advertisements and proclamations made by the respondent company. This could not have happened but for the active connivance and collusion of some of the officers of the D.D.A. The interest of justice demand that the officers found indulging in such acts be proceeded against and dealt with sternly so that it may serve as a lesson to others. No court or authority shall be competent to intradict or otherwise interfere with the disciplinary or other proceedings that may be taken against the aforesaid authorities pursuant to this Order. Any further directions necessary in that behalf can be sought for from this Court. The disciplinary proceedings shall be commenced within three months and shall be concluded within one year. [786-G-H; 788-F] C

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION : Special Leave Petition (c)
No. 21000 of 1993. D

From the Judgment and order dated 9.12.93 of the Delhi High Court in Suit No. 770/93. E

Arun Jaitley, Ms. Kamini Jaiswal and Raju Ramachandran for the Petitioner. F

D.D. Thakur, V.A. Bobde, Ms. Indra Sawhney, Ms. Rachna Joshi Issar and K.C. Dua (N.P.) for the Respondents. G

The following Order of the Court was delivered :

By Order dated November 29, 1994, a Bench of this Court (P.B. Sawant, S. Mohan, JJ. and one of us, B.P. Jeevan Reddy, J.) requested Mr. H

- A Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy, former Judge of this Court "to investigate into the conduct of the officials of the D.D.A. including its ex-officio Chairman at the relevant time, in handing over the possession of the suit-land in M/s. Skipper Construction Pvt. Ltd. before receiving the auction amount in full and also in conniving at the construction thereon as well as at the advertisements given by it for booking the premises in the building in question."
- B The learned Judge was also asked to "look into the legality and propriety of the order dt. 4.10.1988 passed by the then ex-officio Chairman of the D.D.A. and the directions given by the Central Government under Section 41 of the Delhi Development Authority Act." The context in which the said Order was made is explained in the judgment dated January 25, 1995
- C rendered by the said Bench. Pursuant to the said request, Mr. Justice Chinnappa Reddy held an enquiry and has submitted his report dated July 7, 1995. After receipt of the report, notice was given to the parties before us to assist us in the matter of passing appropriate orders on the basis of the said report. In particular, we requested Sri Raju Ramachandran,
- D Advocate, to assist us in formulating the appropriate directions in the matter. Copies of the report were made available to all the learned counsel concerned herein. We heard them on November 17, 1995.

- E Paragraph 59 of the Report contains a summary of the conclusions arrived at by the learned Judge. So far as the period January, 1981 to March, 1982 is concerned, the learned Judge found Sri S.C. Dikshit, Director (C.L.) and Sri V.S. Ailawadi, Vice-Chairman, responsible for several irregularities. The learned Judge stated that both of them sacrificed the interest of D.D.A. and went on recommending and granting extensions for which there was absolutely no justification. The learned Judge also
- F found that such repeated extensions were in violation of the terms and conditions of auction and unauthorised by any statutory power or resolution of the D.D.A. So far as the period March, 1982 to May, 1982 is concerned, the learned Judge found that Sri K.S. Baidwan, Secretary to the Lt. Governor, Sri V.S. Ailawadi, Vice-Chairman and Sri Virender Nath,
- G Commissioner colluded together and stalled the implementation of the order of the Lt. Governor, Sri S.L. Khurana directing cancellation of the bid and thereby facilitated M/s. Skipper Construction Company to obtain an order of stay from the Civil Court. The learned Judge further found that though the D.D.A. did adopt a resolution as far back as May 14, 1984 accepting the recommendations of the Committee (Appointed by it) devis-
- H ing a scheme for recovering the balance amount due from Skipper in

instalments in view of the subsequent developments, Sri R.S. Sethi, Commissioner (Lands) designedly delayed the execution of the agreement thereby enabling Skipper to dupe the innocent members of the public by selling the same space in the proposed building to more than one person. The learned Judge also found that Sri Prem Kumar, Vice-Chairman, was a silent accessory to the role played by Sri Sethi. The learned Judge held that the process of recovery of the balance bid amount was stalled in the first instance by repeated extensions granted by Sri Ailawadi and Sri Dikshit and next by the actions of S/Sri Ailawadi, Baidwan and Virender Nath which facilitated Skipper to obtain stay from the Court. The learned Judge recorded further that the process of recovery was stalled finally "by the turn about taken by Sri H.L. Kapur Lt. Governor and Sri Om Kumar, Vice-Chairman who twisted the issue by linking the question of payment with and subjecting the same to the sanctioning of the building plans by the order embodied in the letter dated October 14, 1988". The learned Judge characterised the action of Sri H.L. Kapur and Sri Om Kumar as unjustified, uncalled for and in violation of the original terms and conditions of auction as well as the stipulations contained in the agreement, licence deed and the bank guarantee. The said action was found to be detrimental to the interests of the D.D.A.

Though not mentioned in the summary, we find that the learned Judge has also reported against Sri K.S. Bains, Vice-Chairman in the body of the Report. He has found him responsible for the failure to encash the bank guarantee furnished by Skipper immediately, atleast in regard to the payment of third and fourth instalments.

At the same time, however, we must take note of a particular circumstance mentioned in the Report. In February, 1982, the C.B.I. had received information regarding alleged favours shown by the D.D.A. authorities to Skipper. They prepared a note and forwarded it to the Lt. Governor, Sri S.L. Khurana, for appropriate action. The LT Governor opined that the matter required deeper probe and handed over the case to C.B.I. for further enquiries and necessary action. His letter was registered as a F.I.R. by the C.B.I. against Sri V.S. Ailawadi, Vice-Chairman, Shri K.L. Bhatia, Commissioner (Lands), Sri S.C. Dikshit, Director (C.L.) and Sri Jagdish Chander, Programme Supervisor. The C.B.I. examined several officers of D.D.A. during the course of investigations and came to the conclusion finally that though there were several irregularities,

A no *malafides* can be attributed to any of the said officers. The file was thereupon closed. In its Report, the C.B.I. had also made certain recommendations including the following :

B "It may be pointed out that the prevailing practice in the D.D.A. to grant frequent extensions to private parties to enable them to deposit the premium amount, appears to be arbitrary, and chances cannot be ruled out, when the private parties can offer heavy amount as illegal gratification to the persons in Authority only to allow them extension against the terms and conditions of the auction sale. In order to prevent the scope of malpractice, it is also proposed that the DDA should either delete the conditions in the auction sale notice whereby time limit is prescribed for depositing the balance premium amount within 90 days or some effective checks should be imposed to curb the practice of unlimited discretion for allowing extension of time by the DDA officers to the private parties."

D It is necessary to point out that the enquiry by C.B.I. was confined to the period upto March, 1982 only.

E On going through the Report of Justice Chinnappa Reddy, we find that the learned Judge has taken great pains and extreme care in coming to the conclusions which he did. The conclusions arrived at by the learned Judge are entitled to great weight and constitute, in our opinion, sufficient basis for initiating disciplinary action against the officers concerned. The Report points out how the several officers of the D.D.A. flouted the orders of the LT. Governor, acted against the interest of D.D.A. and how they, by their several acts, helped Skipper in achieving its nefarious design to defraud both the D.D.A. and the innocent members of the public. It is a different matter that ultimately Skipper's designs came to nought so far as D.D.A. is concerned but that was only because of this Court's orders. So far as the members of the public are concerned they lost heavily because they believed in and acted upon the several advertisements and proclamations made by Skipper. The members of the public, it appears, have lost more than Rs. 20 to 30 crores in the bargain. This could not have happened but for the active connivance and collusion of some of the officers of the D.D.A. The interest of justice demand that the officers found indulging in such acts be proceeded against and dealt with sternly so that it may serve

as a lesson to others. A democratic Government does not mean a lax Government. The rules of procedure and/or principles of natural justice are not meant to enable the guilty to delay and defeat the just retribution. The wheels of justice may appear to grind slowly but it is the duty of all of us to ensure that they do grind steadily and grind well and truly. The justice system cannot be allowed to become soft, supine and spineless. Hence, the following directions with respect to each of the officers concerned :

(1) *Sri V.S. Ailawadi, I.A.S.*: We are told that he has retired recently from the post of Additional Secretary, Ministry of Welfare, Government of India, on May 31, 1995. The Government of India (Department of Personnel) is directed to institute appropriate disciplinary proceedings against him for the irregularities and illegalities committed by him as Vice-Chairman of the D.D.A. as borne out by the Report of Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy and the material gathered by the learned Judge in his enquiry. Since Sri Ailawadi has retired from service, it is obvious that the proceedings taken against him will be directed against his pension and other terminal benefits in accordance with the rules.

(2) *Sri K.S. Baidwan, I.A.S.* : He is stated to be holding the post of the Home Secretary in the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi at present. Disciplinary action shall be taken against him by the Government of India (Department of Personnel) for imposing a major penalty for the irregularities and illegalities committed by him as Secretary to the Lt. Governor. The Report of and the material gathered by Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy shall constitute the basis for taking such action.

(3) *Sri Virender Nath, I.A.S.* : He is stated to be holding the post of Commissioner and Secretary of Tourism and Mines, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh at present. For the irregularities committed by him as the Commissioner (Lands) D.D.A., disciplinary proceedings for imposing major punishment shall be taken against him by the Government of India (Department of Personnel). The Report of and the material gathered by Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy shall constitute the basis for taking such action.

(4) *Sri R.S. Sethi, I.A.S.* : He is stated to be holding the post of Joint

- A Secretary in the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India at present. In respect of irregularities committed by him as the Commissioner (Lands), D.D.A., Government of India (Department of Personnel) shall take disciplinary proceedings against him for imposing a major penalty. The Report of and the material gathered by Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy shall
- B constitute the basis for taking such action.

- (5) *Sri Om Kumar, I.A.S.* : He is stated to be holding the post of Joint Secretary (Ordinance) in the Ministry of Defence, Government of India. It is brought to our notice that he was brought to the D.D.A. as Vice-Chairman to set right the mess which the D.D.A. had become under Sri Prem
- C Kumar, Vice-Chairman. We take note of the fact that by that time the matter relating to sale of the said plot to skipper had become sufficiently complicated. Having regard to these facts, we direct that disciplinary proceedings for a minor penalty be taken by the Government of India (Department of Personnel) against him for the irregularities committed by
- D him as the Vice-Chairman of the D.D.A. The Report of and the material gathered by Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy shall constitute the basis for taking such action.

- (6) We are not directing any disciplinary proceedings against Sri S.C. Dikshit in view of the Report of the C.B.I. mentioned *Supra*. It may be
- E noticed that Sri S.C. Dikshit's role is referred to by Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy only for the period upto March, 1982 and the Report of the C.B.I. covers this period.

- (7) It is directed that no court or authority shall be competent to interdict or otherwise interfere with the disciplinary or other proceedings
- F that may be taken against the aforesaid authorities pursuant to this Order. Any further directions necessary in that behalf can be sought for from this Court. The disciplinary proceedings shall be commenced within three months from this date and shall be concluded within one year.

- G A copy of the report of Sri Justice O. Chinnappa Reddy along with a copy of the evidence/material gathered by the learned Judge shall be sent to the Government of India (Department of Personnel). The cost of making copies shall be paid by the D.D.A. on a bill being served upon its counsel.

- H The Government of India (Department of Personnel) shall submit a report of the progress of the disciplinary proceedings at intervals of every

three months to this Court.

A

A sum of Rs. 5,000 shall be paid by the D.D.A. to Sri Raju Ramachandran, Advocate, towards his fee in this matter.

Orders will be separately passed with respect to the recommendations made by Justice Chinnappa reddy with regard to the working of the D.D.A.

B

B.K.M.

Petition ordered.