

SMT. NEERAJA SARAPH
v.
SH. JAYANT V. SARAPH AND ANR.

OCTOBER 6, 1994

[R.M. SAHAI AND N.P. SINGH, JJ.]

Private International Law :

Non-Resident Indians marrying girls in India—Deserted by the non-resident Indians on decree of annulment obtained from foreign courts—Need for protection of rights and interest of such women—Legislation—Suggested—In one such case interim orders of High Court staying the decree and ordering deposit of certain sum—modified enhancing deposit to be made in the name of victim—Permission to withdraw a part of it without furnishing security—Granted.

The appellant, a Teacher was married to Respondent No.1, a Doctor in Computer Hardware and employed in the United States at the behest of his father through a common family friend. The marriage was performed on 6th August, 1989 and Respondent No.1 returned to America on 24th August, 1989. In September and November, 1989 he wrote letters to the appellant persuading her to give up the job and suggesting various avenues for her career in America. Appellant tried for visa and resigned her job in November 1989. But from December 1989 things started getting cold. When the father of the appellant wrote a letter in January 1990, there was no response from Respondent No. 1. In June 1990, the appellant's brother came to Delhi and handed over two envelopes, one petition for annulment of the marriage in USA Court and another, containing a letter expressing his feelings at his son's decision.

Thereafter the appellant filed a suit *forma pauperis* for damages against the husband and father-in-law for ruining her life. The suit was decreed *exparte* for Rs. 22 lakhs and add. The suit was decreed *exparte*.

On appeal the High Court stayed the operation of the decree subject to depositing Rs. 1,00,000 within one month. The appellant was permitted to withdraw 50% of the amount so deposited. Against this order, the appellant preferred the present appeals.

A Disposing of the appeals, this Court

B HELD : 1. The order of the High Court is modified by directing that the execution of the decree shall remain stayed if the respondents deposit a sum of Rs. 3,00,000 including Rs. 1,00,000 directed by the High Court within a period of two months from today, with the Registrar of the High Court. The appellant shall be entitled to withdraw Rs. 1,00,000 without any security. The remaining Rs. 2,00,000 shall be deposited in a nationalised bank in fixed deposit. The interest accruing on it shall be paid to the appellant every month. If the proceedings are not decided within reasonable time, it shall be open to the appellant to move an application for withdrawal of further amount. [293-D, E]

D 2. With change in social structure and rise of marriages with NRI the Union of India may consider enacting a law like the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Act, 1933 enacted by the British Parliament under Section (1) in pursuance of which the Government of United Kingdom issued Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments (India) Order, 1958. Apart from it there are other enactments such as Indian and Colonial Divorce Jurisdiction Act, 1940 which safeguard the interest so far United Kingdom is concerned. But the rule of domicile replacing the nationality rule in most of the countries for assumption of jurisdiction and granting relief in matrimonial matters has resulted in conflict of laws. What this domicile rule is not necessary to be gone into. But feasibility of a legislation safeguarding interest of women may be examined by incorporating such provisions as :- [293-G, H, 294-A]

F (i) no marriage between a NRI and an Indian woman which has taken place in India may be annulled by a foreign court;
[294-B]

G (ii) provision may be made for adequate alimony to the wife in the property of the husband both in India and abroad. [294-C]

H (iii) the decree granted by Indian courts may be made executable in foreign courts both on principle of comity and by entering into reciprocal agreement like Section 44A of the Civil Procedure Code which makes a foreign decree executable as it would have been a decree passed by that court. [294-D]

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION : Civil Appeal Nos. 6584-85 A
of 1994.

From the Judgment and Order dated 27.5.94 of the Delhi High Court
in C.M. No. 427 of 1994.

Ashok H. Desai, Mukul Mudgal and C.K. Sucharita for the Appel- B
lant.

Vikram B. Trivedi and Bharat Sangal for the Respondents.

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

R.M. SAHAI, J. These appeals directed against the interim order C
passed by the High Court in an appeal filed by respondent no.2 against
rejection of an application for setting aside of an *ex-parte* decree, raises
important issue as how to protect the right and interest of women who are
deserted by non-resident Indians on decree of annulment obtained from D
foreign courts.

Plight of women and their exploitation both inside and outside the
house socially and economically is ancient. Mass of literature has been
written to elevate their status. But a new social evil is surfacing. Any
matrimonial column of any newspaper or magazine would carry a column E
that a NRI seeks Indian bride without any demand. The attraction of
getting a groom and that too serving or earning abroad without dowry, lures
many specially from middle class. Even otherwise parental insistence for
Indian bride in the hope that his son is not list for ever is not uncommon.
Result, at times, is matrimonial alliance by a reluctant husband to assuage F
the sentiments of his parent. Victim is the helpless, poor, educated girl,
normally, of a middle class family with dreams of foreign land.

To what extent such misfortune may befall on any innocent girl is
vividly transparent by this unfortunate case. The appellant M.A., B.Ed.
daughter of a senior Air Force Officer serving as a teacher and drawing G
salary of Rs. 3000 was married to the respondent No.1, a Doctor in
Computer Hardware and employed in United States, at the behest of her
father-in-law approached through a common family friend. How the
respondent no. 1 met the appellant at Delhi on his own request then picked
her from her aunt's place at Bombay before marriage is not necessary to
be stated nor it is necessary to narrate that the marriage was performed H

A with gusto befitting to the status of both the families. The marriage was performed on 6th August, 1989 and the appellant was taken for honeymoon to Goa for few days. Respondent No. 1 returned to America on 24th August, 1989, wrote letters to appellant on 15th September, 20th October and 14th November, 1989 persuading her to give up her job and suggesting the various avenues for her career in America. Appellant believing all that

B tried for visa and ultimately resigned her job in November, 1989. But from December things started getting cold. And when father of appellant wrote a letter in January, 1990 to the respondent-husband about the sufferings of her daughter, it did not bring forth any favourable response and in June, 1990 the respondent's brother came to Delhi handed over two envelopes,

C one petition for annulment of marriage in a USA Court and another a letter from her father-in-law which reads as under :-

"I have no words to express my feelings at Jayant's decision which is very unfortunate. I was hoping against hope. I have to accept the moral responsibility for Jayant's decision and appolize Baba and your Mause, they can squarely blame me for not knowing my son.

This is agonizing experience for you in your life. I cannot say any more.

Please bear in my mind that we share your grief. I earnestly request you to see us when you come here in Bombay and keep friendly relations. God bless you.

Yours affectionately,

Nana."

For the father-in-law it was an unfortunate experiment, an effort, 'hoping against hope' forgetting that failure of it would be ruination of the other. For the son it was a pleasure trip. But for the daughter-in-law it was loss of everything, her maidenhood, status, service, dignity and peace. Her dreams stood shattered and she is reduced to nothing. 'Accepting moral responsibility', 'not knowing the son', 'sharing the grief' by the father-in-law are of little avail to the appellant. There is no whisper in the letter that he was willing to compensate for the wrong done to the appellant due to error in his assessment of his own son. It is not the soothing words alone which

were needed but some practical solution to the disaster brought by him. In A
 these desperate circumstances, the wife having been forsaken by her hus-
 band and having lost the job had no alternative except to file a suit for
 damages against the husband and father-in-law for ruining her life in *forma*
pauperis. And the father-in-law who has words of sympathy for the appel-
 lant contested her claim to sue in *forma pauperis* vehemently, though B
 without any success. This suit was decreed ex-parte for Rs. 22 lakhs and
 odd. In an appeal filed by the respondent no. 2 the High Court stayed the
 operation of the decree subject to the appellant, who is respondent no. 2
 in this Court, depositing a sum of Rs. 1,00,000 within one month from the
 date the order was passed. It permitted the appellant to withdraw 50% of
 it. Various submissions have been advanced on behalf of the father-in-law C
 to support the order of the High Court including his helplessness financial-
 ly. Is it a case of any sympathy for the father-in-law at this stage? In our
 opinion not. True the decree is ex-parte. Yet it is a money decree. How-
 ever, no opinion is expressed on this aspect as the appeal is pending in the
 High Court. But the order of the High Court is modified by directing that D
 the execution of the decree shall remain stayed if the respondents deposit
 a sum of Rs. 3,00,000 including Rs. 1,00,000 directed by the High Court
 within a period of two months from today, with the Registrar of the High
 Court. The appellant shall be entitled to withdraw Rs. 1,00,0000 without
 any security. The remaining Rs. 2,00,000 shall be deposited in a
 nationalised bank in fixed deposit. The interest accruing on it shall be paid E
 to the appellant every month. If the proceedings are not decided within
 reasonable time, it shall be open to the appellant to move an application
 for withdrawal of further amount.

Why the facts of this case have been narrated in brief with little F
 background is to impress upon the need and necessity for appropriate steps
 to be taken in this direction to safeguard the interest of women. Although
 it is a problem of private International Law and is not easy to be resolved,
 but with change in social structure and rise of marriage with NRI the Union
 of India may consider enacting a law like the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal
 Enforcement) Act, 1933 enacted by the British Parliament under Section G
 (1) in pursuance of which the Government of United Kingdom issued
 Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments (India) Order, 1958. Apart from it
 there are other enactments such as Indian and Colonial Divorce Jurisdic-
 tion Act, 1940 which safeguard the interest so far United Kingdom is
 concerned. But the rule of domicile replacing the nationality rule in most H

A of the countries for assumption of jurisdiction and granting relief in matrimonial matters has resulted in conflict of laws. What this domicile rule is not necessary to be gone into. But feasibility of a legislation safeguarding interest of women may be examined by incorporating such provisions as -

B (1) no marriage between a NRI and an Indian woman which has taken place in India may be annulled by a foreign court;

(2) provision may be made for adequate alimony to the wife in the property of the husband both in India and abroad;

C (3) the decree granted by Indian courts may be made executable in foreign courts both on principle of comity and by entering into reciprocal agreements like Section 44A of the Civil Procedure Code which makes a foreign decree executable as it would have been a decree passed by that court.

D The appeals are disposed of accordingly. Any observation made shall not be taken as expressing of any opinion when the case is decided on merits.

G.N.

Appeals disposed of.