HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JUNE, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.200987 OF 2025

(482(Cr.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

1. SRI BANDAPPA S/O BASALINGAPPA,
   AGED ABOUT: 35 YEARS, OCC: LIC AGENT,
   R/O. ARASHINAGI VILLAGE,
   TQ. AND DIST. RAICHUR-584170.

2. SRI. GANGANNA S/O HANUMANTA,
   AGED ABOUT: 45 YEARS,
   OCC: PVT. CONTRACT WORKER,
   AT KPCL, SHAKTI NAGAR,
   R/O. SHAKTI NAGAR,
   TQ. AND DIST. RAICHUR-584170.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. ARUNKUMAR AMARGUNDAPPA, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by RENUKA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   THROUGH SHAKTI NAGAR POLICE STATION,
   REPT. BY ADDL. SPP, HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
   KALABURAGI BENCH,
   DIST. KALABURAGI-585 103.

2. SRI. VINOD S/O BHEEMANNA,
   AGED ABOUT:23 YEARS, OCC; FISHER MAN,
   R/O. NAWAB GADDA, HARIJANWADA,

RAICHUR,
TQ. AND DIST. RAICHUR-584103.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. ARATI PATIL ,HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED UNDER SECTION 528 OF BNSS,2023 PRAYING TO ALLOW THIS PETITION AND QUASH THE FIR REGISTERED IN CRIME NO.31/2025 FOR THE COMMISSION OF ALLEGED OFFENCES PUNISHABLE U/SEC. 143, 147, 323, 324, 326, 506, R/W SEC. 149 OF IPC AND SEC. 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(v-a) OF SC/ST (PoA) ACT, 1989, BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 - SHAKTI NAGAR POLICE STATION AGAINST THE PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED NO.1 AND 2, CONSEQUENTLY DISMISS THE PRIVATE COMPLAINT IN PC NO. 6/2024 ON THE FILE OF I ADDL. DIST. AND SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR FILED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 ALLEGING COMMISSION OF AFORESAID OFFENCES AND ORDER DATED 22.02.2025 IN PC NO.6/2024 PASSED BY THE I ADDL. DIST. AND SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR REFERRING THE CASE FOR INVESTIGATION BY THE 1st RESPONDENT AND TO PASS ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE ORDERS AS DEEM FIT IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA)

After addressing the arguments at length, learned counsel for the petitioners files a memo which reads as under:

> *"In the above said case petitioner have filed above petition for quashing of private complaint since matter is referred for investigation petitioner it may be permitted to withdraw above Criminal Petition with a liberty to challenge charge sheet file it any, in the interest of justice."*

2. Placing the memo on record, petition is ***dismissed*** as withdrawn reserving liberty as prayed for.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

kcm
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 53
CT:PK