HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, KALABURAGI

BEFORE THE LOK-ADALAT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF MARCH, 2025

CONCILIATORS PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A.PATIL

AND

SRI. LIYAQAT FAREED USTAD, MEMBER

M.F.A. NO.202185 of 2024 (MV)

Lok Adalat No.57/2025

BETWEEN:

1. VEERSHETTY @ VEERSHTTY
   S/O RAMSHETTTY @ RAMSHTTY,
   AGE: 50 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE

2. NAGAMMA W/O SIDDAPPA,
   AGE: 54 YEARS, OCC: LABOUR

3. AMBRESH BIRADAR S/O BASAWARAJ,
   AGE: 41 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
   ALL RESIDENT OF SUNDHAL (SUNDAL) VILLAGE,
   TQ:AURAD-B, DIST: BIDAR 585326,
   NOW RESIDENT OF VIDYANAGAR COLONY,
   BIDAR.

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI SANJEEV PATIL, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1A. PRASHANTH S/O VAIJINATH (RAMPURE),
   AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
   R/O C/O KASHINATH ADVEPPA P NO. 17,
   SBH COLONY, BIDAR – 585402.
   (AS PER ADDRESS IN INSURANCE POLICY
   CERTIFICATE OWNER OF THE CAR MARUTI
   ALTO LXI NO. KA-38/M-1493)

1B. PRASHANTH S/O VAIJINATH (RAMPURE)
AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
R/O H.NO.116, VILLAGE DIGGI,
TQ. AURAD-B (NEW TQ. KAMAL NAGAR),
DIST. BIDAR – 585403.
(OWNER OF THE MARUTI ALTO CAR
LXI NO. KA-38/M-1493, AS PER ADDRESS
IN REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE OF OWNER)

2. MANAGER OF SHRIRAM GENERAL
INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
R/O E-8, RIICO INDUSTRIAL AREA,
SITAPURA, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN – 302022
REPRESENTED BY THE MANAGER,
SHRIRAM GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
NO.5/4, 3RD FLOOR, S.V. ARCADE,
BELEKAHALLI MAIN ROAD,
OFF BANNERUGHATTA ROAD, IIMB POST,
BENGALURU – 560076.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SUBHASH MALLAPUR, ADV. FOR R2)

THIS MFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 173(1) OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, PRAYING TO MODIFY THE JUDGMENT AND AWARD DATED 01.12.2022 PASSED BY THE LEARNED II ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND ADDL. MACT AT BIDAR IN MVC NO. 359/2019 BY ENHANCING THE COMPENSATION AMOUNT AS PRAYED FOR.

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR CONCILIATION BEFORE LOK ADALAT, THIS DAY, THE FOLLOWING ORDER IS PASSED:

CONCILIATION ORDER

The learned counsel for the Appellants/Claimants has filed a memo seeking leave of the Court to withdraw the appeal as not pressed. The memo is taken on record.

2. In view of the same, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

The amount in deposit, if any shall be transmitted to the concerned Tribunal forthwith.

Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(LIYAQAT FAREED USTAD)
MEMBER

msr