IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO.200696 OF 2025 (GM-TEN)

BETWEEN:

SRI. SHARANABASAVESHWAR SECURITY AGENCY,
OFF: SUGURESHWAR NILAYA, #2-11-636,
RENUKA NAGAR, OPP. SUB-JAIL, LINGASUGUR,
TQ. LINGASUGUR, DIST. RAICHUR-584 122.
BY ITS PROPRIETOR NAGREDDEPPA S/O RACHAPPA.

...PETITIONER
(BY SRI. ARUNKUMAR AMARGUNDAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   REPT. BY IT'S SECRETARY
   CUM APPELLATE AUTHORITY,
   DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,
   #305, #306, 3RD FLOOR, VIKASA SOUDHA,
   AMBEDKAR VEEDHI, BENGALURU-560 001.

2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
   KBJNL, PWD OFFICE ANNEX, 3RD FLOOR,
   K.R. CIRCLE, BENGALURU-560 001.

3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
   KBJNL, O & M ZONAL, NARAYANPUR,
   TQ. HUNASAGI, DIST. YADGIR-585 219.

4. THE SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER,
   KBJNL, O & M CIRCLE-1, NARAYANPUR,
   TQ. HUNASAGI, DIST. YADGIR-585 219.

Digitally signed by VARSHA N RASALKAR
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

5. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KBJNL, DAM DIVISION, NARAYANPUR,
TQ. HUNASAGI, DIST. YADGIR-585 219.

6. M/S. DEEKSHA CONSULTANCY,
#1-3-287/73, MALLIKARJUN NILAYA,
NEAR RAJMATA TEMPLE, ASHAPUR ROAD, RAICHUR,
TQ. & DIST. RAICHUR-584 101.
BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI. RAVIKUMAR.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPALKRISHNA B. YADAV, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. KRUPA SAGAR PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R5;
SRI. P.S. MALIPATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 20.02.2025 BEARING APPEAL NO.WRD/TECH-05/2023/24 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 AT ANNEXURE-E.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN)

The dispute in the instant writ petition pertains to a tender floated by respondent No.5 inviting bids for supply of required manpower for providing security arrangements

to Narayanpur Dam up to March 2025, the date which has already expired.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondents fairly submit that the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Their submission is placed on record and the writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

4. In view of dismissal of the writ petition, I.A.2/2025 does not survive for consideration and the same is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE

LG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 35